



OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE TRIALS AND HEARINGS
Hearings Division

DECISION

Michael Nacmias, Esq. - Nacmias Law Firm 592 Pacific Street 1st Floor Brooklyn, NY 11217	Summons No: 0219271086 et al. (1 Summons) NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPT, -against- KEITH YEUNG Hearing Date: 04/22/2026 Hearing Location: Remote Type of Hearing: By Telephone
---	---

Total Penalty Amount: \$0.00
Community Service(Hr): Not Applicable

SUMMONS #	SUMMARY DISPOSITION	DATE OF OCCURRENCE	PLACE OF OCCURRENCE
0219271086	Dismissed	03/03/2026	Queens

LINE ITEM	OATH CODE	CODE SECTION/RULE	RESULT	PENALTY
1	AD6L	AC 19-190(B)	Dismissed	\$0.00

Findings of Fact & Conclusions of Law

This is a hearing by phone held April 22, 2026. Respondent, **KEITH YEUNG**, appeared by **MICHAEL NACMIAS, Esq.** Petitioner, Police Department, did not appear.

Summons **0219271086** alleges a violation of § 19-190(b) of the New York City Administrative Code for failure to yield – physical injury. Respondent's attorney moved to dismiss the summons arguing (i) service was defective because the Issuing Officer failed to sign the affidavit/certificate of service and the summons failed to make out a prima facie case since the summons (ii) does not establish that the Respondent was the driver of a motor vehicle, (iii) fails to establish a physical injury.

I find that Petitioner failed to establish proper service. Here, the purported proof of service on the reverse side of the summons was largely left blank and not signed and Petitioner did not appear at the hearing to supplement its proof of service. See NYPD v. Barrett Cherelle, Appeal No. 200J420 (June 18, 2020). Further, Counsel is correct that the summons does not state a prima face case for the charge because material elements are missing from the sworn details, such as whether Respondent was driving a motor vehicle, neither does it establish injury.

Accordingly, the summons is dismissed.

	
04/22/2026	04/22/2026
Mellessa Anglin, Judicial Hearing Officer	Date