



OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE TRIALS AND HEARINGS
Hearings Division

DECISION

YEE DECHANG/ MICHAEL NACMIAS 592 PACIFIC STREET BROOKLYN,NY 11217	Summons No: 049469649Z et al. (1 Summons) THE NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF SANITATION, -against- YEE DECHANG Hearing Date: 04/13/2026 Hearing Location: Remote Type of Hearing: By One-Click
--	--

Total Penalty Amount: \$50.00
Community Service(Hr): Not Applicable

SUMMONS #	SUMMARY DISPOSITION	DATE OF OCCURRENCE	PLACE OF OCCURRENCE
049469649Z	Sustained	11/21/2025	132-05 AVERY AVE Queens

LINE ITEM	OATH CODE	CODE SECTION/RULE	RESULT	PENALTY
1	AS6M	16-118 (2)(A)	Sustained	\$50.00

Findings of Fact & Conclusions of Law

Michael Nacmias, authorized representative for Yee Dechang, Respondent, appeared by electronic submission dated February 19, 2026.

The summons was issued on November 21, 2025, at 8:01 a.m. and charges a violation of 16 NYC AC §16-118(2)(a).

The summons states the issuing officer observed a large accumulation of debris in the front yard at the location during the established routing hour.

The Representative stated that "the Department has not established that the furniture originated from or was placed by the respondent. There is no identifying material linking the condition to this premises. The City has not met its burden of proof."

The Representative did not deny the presence of debris in the front yard at the location at the time of the issuance of the summons. The source of the debris is not a valid defense as the property owner remains responsible for making reasonable efforts to keep the front yard free from litter. He did not describe how often the cited area is cleaned and so failed to establish a reasonable efforts defense. I do not credit the testimony and evidence of the Representative as he writes from a different location than the place of occurrence, does not display any first-hand knowledge of the violation, and as he did not state whether the cited area was cleaned on the date of the issuance of the summons. I find that the Representative has failed to present a meritorious defense to the above-cited code violation. Accordingly, the summons is sustained.



04/13/2026

04/13/2026

Stephanie Darigan, Judicial Hearing Officer

Date