



# OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE TRIALS AND HEARINGS

Hearings Division

## Decision

Michael Anthony Sargo, Esq.  
592 Pacific Street  
Brooklyn, NY 11217

Summons# : 048697912P et al. (1 summonses)

THE NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF SANITATION,

-against-

RAM REALTY GROUP LLC

Hearing Date : 2/23/2026

Hearing Location : Remote

Type of Hearing : By Telephone

Total Penalty Amount : 50.00

Community Service(Hr): Not Applicable

| SUMMONS #  | SUMMARY<br>DISPOSITION | DATE OF OCCURRENCE | PLACE OF OCCURRENCE         |
|------------|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| 048697912P | Sustained              | 11/23/2024         | 602 MORRIS AVENUE,<br>Bronx |

| LINE ITEM | OATH CODE | CODE SECTION / RULE | RESULT    | PENALTY |
|-----------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|---------|
| 1         | AS06      | A.C. 16-118(2)(A)   | Sustained | 50.00   |

Total Penalty for Summons: 50.00

### Findings of Facts & Conclusions of Law

A telephonic hearing was held on 2/23/2026, Michael Anthony Sargo, Esq., attorney, appeared on behalf of the Respondent. Petitioner waived appearance.

On 11/23/2024, Respondent was issued with a violation of NYC Admin Code 16-118(2)(a)- Dirty Sidewalk.

Respondent moves to dismiss. Counsel asserts that a stranger is making this mess and dumping the trash. The super cleans twice per day and takes reasonable steps. No other specific details are available and no evidence was provided to support these assertions (no witness and no super affidavit).

Respondent also moves to dismiss as there are 15 plus other summons on for today for same Respondent and same location. They argue that it is duplicative.

However, the summons before me are for different dates and a different violation on that date.

I find the Respondent failed to refute the summons and/or provide a viable defense.

The summons is sustained and the penalty is \$50.



02/23/2026

02/23/2026

**Aneeba Rehman, Judicial Hearing Officer**

**Date**