



OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE TRIALS AND HEARINGS
Hearings Division

DECISION

Michael Nacmias, Esq. - Nacmias Law Firm 592 Pacific Street 1st Floor Brooklyn, NY 11217	Summons No: 014201325P et al. (1 Summons) NEW YORK CITY FIRE DEPARTMENT, -against- 1501 NY INTERNATIONAL LTD Hearing Date: 10/07/2025 Hearing Location: Remote Type of Hearing: By Telephone
---	---

Total Penalty Amount: \$0.00
Community Service(Hr): Not Applicable

SUMMONS #	SUMMARY DISPOSITION	DATE OF OCCURRENCE	PLACE OF OCCURRENCE
014201325P	Sustained	08/19/2025	1096 Pacific Street Brooklyn

LINE ITEM	OATH CODE	CODE SECTION/RULE	RESULT	PENALTY
1	BF06	VC06 .	Sustained	\$0.00
2	BF07	VC07 .	Sustained	\$0.00

Findings of Fact & Conclusions of Law

I find 1501 NY INTERNATIONAL LTD in violation of the charges of VC 6 and VC 7.

The attorney Michael Nacmias appeared for Respondent at a telephone hearing held on October 7, 2025. Eric Monk, an FDNY

Oath Representative, appeared for Petitioner. Respondent's counsel waived the appearance of the issuing officer and the use of an interpreter and agreed to proceed without counsel.

Petitioner FDNY charged Respondent with the following violation categories during an inspection on August 19, 2025: VC 6 and VC 7. The compliance date specified on the summons was: September 23, 2025. This was the first hearing.

At the telephone hearing, Petitioner's representative did not offer any evidence and relied on the summons. Petitioner's representative stated that he found proof of correction in FDNY's database and that it was timely filed by Respondent by the compliance date. Petitioner's representative recommended a finding of in violation with a zero penalty as to all charges.

Respondent's counsel agreed with the recommendation.

I find that Respondent has provided proof of correction of the violating conditions, and a finding of in violation but with a zero-penalty imposed is proper here. Petitioner's representative also recommended a finding of in violation and a zero fine here as to all charges. Therefore, I find Respondent in violation for all charges, but a zero penalty is imposed.

Accordingly, the violations of VC 6 and VC 7 are sustained with a zero penalty.



10/08/2025

10/08/2025

George Drakopoulos, Hearing Officer

Date