



OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE TRIALS AND HEARINGS
Hearings Division

DECISION

Michael Nacmias, Esq. - Nacmias Law Firm 592 Pacific Street 1st Floor Brooklyn, NY 11217	Summons No: 014154726R et al. (1 Summons) NEW YORK CITY FIRE DEPARTMENT, -against- 1065 PACIFIC BK CORP Hearing Date: 10/07/2025 Hearing Location: Remote Type of Hearing: By Telephone
---	--

Total Penalty Amount: \$1,685.00
Community Service(Hr): Not Applicable

SUMMONS #	SUMMARY DISPOSITION	DATE OF OCCURRENCE	PLACE OF OCCURRENCE
014154726R	Sustained	11/01/2024	1065 Pacific Street Brooklyn

LINE ITEM	OATH CODE	CODE SECTION/RULE	RESULT	PENALTY
1	BF17	VC17 .	Sustained	\$935.00
2	BF19	VC19 .	Sustained	\$750.00

Findings of Fact & Conclusions of Law

I find 1065 Pacific BK Corp in violation of the charges of VC 17 and VC 19.

The attorney Michael Nacmias appeared for Respondent at a telephone hearing held on October 7, 2025. Eric Monk appeared

for Petitioner FDNY. Respondent's counsel waived the appearance of the issuing officer and the use of an interpreter.

Petitioner FDNY charged Respondent with the following violation categories during an inspection on November 1, 2024: a repeat violation of VC 17 and a repeat violation of VC 19. The compliance date specified on the summons was: December 6, 2024. The first hearing date as per the summons was on December 17, 2024.

At the telephone hearing, Petitioner's representative did not offer any evidence and relied on the summons. Petitioner's representative stated that he found proof of correction in FDNY's database that was timely filed by Respondent. Petitioner's representative recommended a mitigated penalty for timely correction of the violating conditions, as repeat violations.

Respondent's counsel agreed with the recommendation.

I find that a finding of in violation but with a mitigated penalty for a repeat violation is proper as to all charges here. Respondent has offered evidence here as to the VC 17 and the VC 19 charges, that demonstrates compliance with the requirements set forth by FDNY, and Petitioner is already in possession of the evidence submitted. Petitioner's representative also recommended a mitigated penalty here as to all charges. Therefore, I find Respondent in violation, and mitigated penalties for a repeat violation of VC 17 and a repeat violation of VC 19 are imposed, as per the penalty schedule.

Accordingly, all charges are sustained as repeat violations, and a mitigated penalty is imposed for each violation category.



10/08/2025

10/08/2025

George Drakopoulos, Hearing Officer

Date