



OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE TRIALS AND HEARINGS
Hearings Division

DECISION

NORA BROWN - NACMIAS LAW FIRM PPLC 592 PACIFIC STREET NEW YORK,NY 11217	Summons No: 012178035L et al. (1 Summons) NEW YORK CITY FIRE DEPARTMENT, -against- PARK CITY 3 & 4 APTS INC Hearing Date: 04/06/2026 Hearing Location: Remote Type of Hearing: By Telephone
--	--

Total Penalty Amount:\$935.00

Community Service(Hr): **Not Applicable**

SUMMONS #	SUMMARY DISPOSITION	DATE OF OCCURRENCE	PLACE OF OCCURRENCE
012178035L	Sustained	12/01/2025	98-10 63RD ROAD Queens

LINE ITEM	OATH CODE	CODE SECTION/RULE	RESULT	PENALTY
1	BF35	VC12 .	Sustained	\$935.00

Findings of Fact & Conclusions of Law

The summons alleges that the respondent violated Fire Department rules (VC 12) by failing to provide and/or maintain required fire protection system and/or prevent unnecessary/unwarranted alarm(s) at 98-10 63rd Road Queens on December 1, 2025. This is listed as a repeat violation. Nora Brown, authorized representative, Nacmias Law Firm PLLC, appeared for the respondent Park City 3 & 4 Apts Inc.. Ari Dennis Kayserian, Fire Department representative, appeared for the petitioner FDNY.

The petitioner relied on the issuing officer's sworn statement in the summons.

The respondent did not contest the prior violation alleged in the summons.

The petitioner acknowledged and the respondent confirmed that this condition was certified corrected on March 19, 2026. The scheduled hearing date appearing on the summons is April 6, 2026. Based on this, the petitioner recommended the standard mitigated penalty for a repeat violation.

I find the issuing officer's sworn statement in the summons credible. I believe the issuing officer that the respondent had an unwarranted alarm as described. I believe the issuing officer that this was a repeat violation. The respondent did not deny the charge. I credit the petitioner's acknowledgment and respondent's confirmation that it certified correction of this condition before the scheduled hearing date appearing on this summons. Accordingly, I find the petitioner proved its charge by a preponderance of the evidence. I find the respondent is in violation. I impose the standard mitigated penalty for a repeat violation.



04/09/2026

04/09/2026

Anthony Feldmesser, Judicial Hearing Officer

Date