



OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE TRIALS AND HEARINGS
Hearings Division

DECISION

NORA BROWN - NACMIAS LAW FIRM PLLC 592 PACIFIC STREET NEW YORK, NY 11217	Summons No: 012162495X et al. (1 Summons) NEW YORK CITY FIRE DEPARTMENT, -against- HARBOR NY CORP Hearing Date: 01/12/2026 Hearing Location: Remote Type of Hearing: By Telephone
---	--

Total Penalty Amount: \$935.00
Community Service(Hr): Not Applicable

SUMMONS #	SUMMARY DISPOSITION	DATE OF OCCURRENCE	PLACE OF OCCURRENCE
012162495X	Sustained	12/12/2024	64-54 Dry Harbor Rd Queens

LINE ITEM	OATH CODE	CODE SECTION/RULE	RESULT	PENALTY
1	BF35	VC12	Sustained	\$935.00

Findings of Fact & Conclusions of Law

This telephone hearing was held on January 12, 2026. Petitioner, NYC Fire Department, appeared by Representative Khadija Hassan, Respondent, Harbor NY Corp, appeared by Nora Brown, Authorized Representative. The first hearing was scheduled for April 21, 2025.

Respondent is cited for a Category 12 repeat violation for failure to prevent unnecessary and/or unwarranted alarm(s) in violation of 3 RCNY 907-01 as previously cited in Summons #01215833L.

Ms. Hassan relied on the Issuing Officer's (IO) affirmed statements in the Summons.

Ms. Brown did not dispute the prior violation. She testified that the violation had been corrected on March 25, 2025, prior to the first hearing date and she submitted documentation of correction (R-A).

Ms. Hassan recommended a mitigated penalty.

3 RCNY §907-01(c)(2) provides that "it shall be unlawful to transmit two (2) or more unnecessary or unwarranted alarms in any three-month period, and it shall be unlawful to transmit any additional unnecessary or unwarranted alarms as set forth in § 907-01(c)(4)."

3 RCNY §109-02 requires the maintenance of fire alarms systems to prevent unnecessary and unwarranted alarms.

3 RCNY §907-01(b)(1) of requires that fire alarm systems be maintained in good working order at all times.

I credit the affirmed statements of the IO in the summons with respect to the repeat violation of VC 12. I find that Petitioner supported the Category 12 repeat violation. I credit Respondent's evidence regarding the correction of the violation. I further find that the violation was corrected before the first scheduled hearing date and conclude that mitigation is appropriate under the circumstances. Accordingly, I find Respondent in violation and sustain the VC 12 repeat violation in the summons. I impose the mitigated mandatory penalty for this Category 12 repeat violation, in the amount of \$935.00.

Barbara Halper

01/12/2026

01/12/2026

Barbara Halper, Judicial Hearing Officer

Date