



OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE TRIALS AND HEARINGS  
Hearings Division

DECISION

|                                                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ILMA BABU - NACMIAS LAW FIRM PLLC<br>592PACIFIC STREET<br>Brooklyn,NY 11217 | Summons No: 000960307K et al. (1 Summons)<br><br>DEPT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION,<br><br>-against-<br><br>DHL EXPRESS USA INC<br><br>Hearing Date: 03/30/2026<br><br>Hearing Location: Remote<br><br>Type of Hearing: By Telephone |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Total Penalty Amount:\$350.00

Community Service(Hr): Not Applicable

| SUMMONS #  | SUMMARY DISPOSITION | DATE OF OCCURRENCE | PLACE OF OCCURRENCE         |
|------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| 000960307K | Sustained           | 10/15/2024         | 27 MADISON AVENUE Manhattan |

| LINE ITEM | OATH CODE | CODE SECTION/RULE | RESULT    | PENALTY  |
|-----------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|----------|
| 1         | BA51      | 24 -163           | Sustained | \$350.00 |

**Findings of Fact & Conclusions of Law**

Ilma Babu appeared as the authorized representative of the named respondent. No appearance for the Department.

The summons cites a violation of 24-163

The respondent denied, but offered no evidence to rebut, the charges.

I find the sworn account of the issuing officer to be credible, and that it establishes the charge. The respondent failed to set forth a valid legal defense. The summons is sustained.

Amy Jill Baranoff

03/30/2026

03/30/2026

Amy Jill Baranoff, Judicial Hearing Officer

Date