



OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE TRIALS AND HEARINGS

Hearings Division

Decision

ILMA BABU - NACMIAS LAW FIRM PLLC
592PACIFIC STREET
Brooklyn, NY 11217

Summons# : 000936297X et al. (2 summonses)

DEPT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION,

-against-

PENSKE TRUCK LEASING CO L P

Hearing Date : 1/2/2026

Hearing Location : Remote

Type of Hearing : By Telephone

Total Penalty Amount : 700.00

Community Service(Hr): Not Applicable

SUMMONS #	SUMMARY DISPOSITION	DATE OF OCCURRENCE	PLACE OF OCCURRENCE
000936085Y	Sustained	02/10/2025	ON 5TH AVENUE BETWEEN WEST 47TH ST

LINE ITEM	OATH CODE	CODE SECTION / RULE	RESULT	PENALTY
1	BA51	24 -163	Sustained	350.00

Total Penalty for Summons: 350.00

SUMMONS #	SUMMARY DISPOSITION	DATE OF OCCURRENCE	PLACE OF OCCURRENCE
000936297X	Sustained	01/03/2025	ON W 70TH ST BETWEEN WEST END AVE AND

LINE ITEM	OATH CODE	CODE SECTION / RULE	RESULT	PENALTY
1	BA51	24 -163	Sustained	350.00

Total Penalty for Summons: 350.00

Findings of Facts & Conclusions of Law

000936297X

Respondent, PENSKE TRUCK LEASING CO L P, appeared via telephone in response to the above issued summons. Respondent was represented by authorized representative, Ilma Babu. Petitioner, Department of Environmental Protection, was represented by Leah Smith. The summons alleges a violation of NYC Administrative Code 24-163.

Ms. Babu denied the charge with no rebuttal.

I credit the issuing officer's statement and find that Petitioner proved this violation by a preponderance of the evidence. Respondent has not established a valid defense.

Accordingly, this summons is sustained.

000936085Y

Respondent, PENSKE TRUCK LEASING CO L P, appeared via telephone in response to the above issued summons. Respondent was represented by authorized representative, Ilma Babu. Petitioner, Department of Environmental Protection, was represented by Leah Smith. The summons alleges a violation of NYC Administrative Code 24-163.

Ms. Babu denied the charge with no rebuttal.

I credit the issuing officer's statement and find that Petitioner proved this violation by a preponderance of the evidence. Respondent has not established a valid defense.

Accordingly, this summons is sustained.

	01/02/2026
Trishawn Raffington, Judicial Hearing Officer	Date