



OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE TRIALS AND HEARINGS

Hearings Division

Decision

Michael Sargo 592 Pacific Street 1st Fl BK,NY 11217	Summons# : 000873661H et al. (2 summonses) DEPT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, -against- BLUETRITON BRANDS INC Hearing Date : 10/7/2025 Hearing Location : Remote Type of Hearing : By Telephone
--	---

Total Penalty Amount :	1,200.00	Community Service(Hr):	Not Applicable
-------------------------------	-----------------	-------------------------------	-----------------------

SUMMONS #	SUMMARY DISPOSITION	DATE OF OCCURRENCE	PLACE OF OCCURRENCE
000852143P	Sustained	02/26/2024	492 1st Ave, Manhattan

LINE ITEM	OATH CODE	CODE SECTION / RULE	RESULT	PENALTY
1	BA1F	24 -163	Sustained	600.00

Total Penalty for Summons: 600.00

SUMMONS #	SUMMARY DISPOSITION	DATE OF OCCURRENCE	PLACE OF OCCURRENCE	
000873661H	Sustained	05/29/2024	229 CENTRE STREET, Manhattan	

LINE ITEM	OATH CODE	CODE SECTION / RULE	RESULT	PENALTY
1	BA1F	24 -163	Sustained	600.00

Total Penalty for Summons: 600.00

Findings of Facts & Conclusions of Law

Summons: 000873661H

Hearing date: 10/7/25

Appearing for petitioner: NA

Witness(es):

Appearing for respondent: M. Sargo, Esq.

Witness(es):

The charge dated 5/29/2024, was idling for more than three minutes, third offense.

After a long delay waiting for a representative of Petitioner, respondent stated that as the only issue was the previous cited summonses, he would look up their disposition.

Having done this, Respondent denied the charge but stated it would offer no rebuttal.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law:

I credit the statement of facts in the summons.

Accordingly, I sustain the charge as a third offense.

Summons: 000852143P

Hearing date: 10/7/25

Appearing for petitioner: NA

Witness(es):

Appearing for respondent: M. Sargo, Esq.

Witness(es):

The charge dated 4/22/2024, was idling for more than three minutes, third offense.

After a long delay waiting for a representative of Petitioner, respondent stated that as the only issue was the previous cited summonses, he would look up their disposition.

Having done this, Respondent denied the charge but stated it would offer no rebuttal.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law:

I credit the statement of facts in the summons.

Accordingly, I sustain the charge as a third offense.

 10/07/2025	10/07/2025
Clive Morrick, Hearing Officer	Date