



OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE TRIALS AND HEARINGS
Hearings Division

DECISION

Caroline Kane, Esq. - Nacmias Law Firm, PLLC 592 Pacific Street, 1st Floor Brooklyn, NY 11217	Summons No: 039123786Y et al. (1 Summons) DEPT OF BUILDINGS, -against- SHRI SIDHDHY VANAYAK, INC Hearing Date: 06/30/2025 Hearing Location: Remote Type of Hearing: By Telephone
--	---

Total Penalty Amount: \$0.00
Community Service(Hr): Not Applicable

SUMMONS #	SUMMARY DISPOSITION	DATE OF OCCURRENCE	PLACE OF OCCURRENCE
039123786Y	Dismissed	09/03/2024	1119 EAST GUNHILL ROAD Bronx

LINE ITEM	OATH CODE	CODE SECTION/RULE	RESULT	PENALTY
1	B238	28-301.1	Dismissed	\$0.00

Findings of Fact & Conclusions of Law

On 9/3/24, Respondent SHRI SIDHDHY VANAYAK, INC was issued a summons alleging a class 2 violation of AC section 28-301.1. Specifically, the IO noted the following: "Inadequate emergency lighting for pathways, staircases and emergency exit doors". The first scheduled hearing date was 11/15/24. On 1/24/25, the hearing was adjourned on the motion of the Petitioner for the testimony of the IO.

On 6/27/25, the hearing was conducted by telephone. Respondent appeared by its attorney Caroline Kane, Esq. Petitioner DOB appeared by its attorney Daniel Brickman, Esq.

Mr. Brickman rested on the summons.

Ms. Kane denied the charge and moved to dismiss the summons for failure to establish a prima facie case. She argued that the violation details do not give sufficient notice of the alleged violation and do not explain in what way the lighting was inadequate. Mr. Brickman did not oppose the motion.

I find that the violatio details are conclusory and do not give Respondent sufficient notice of the alleged violating conditions . Accordingly, I grant Respondent's motion and dismiss the summons

Didi Skaff

07/03/2025

07/03/2025

Didi Skaff, Hearing Officer

Date