



OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE TRIALS AND HEARINGS
Hearings Division

DECISION

Andre Autz, Esq. - Nacmias Law 592 Pacific Street Brooklyn, NY 11217	Summons No: 039112916J et al. (1 Summons) DEPT OF BUILDINGS, -against- LANGER, HAYIM LAJTAI Hearing Date: 01/07/2025 Hearing Location: Remote Type of Hearing: By Telephone
---	--

Total Penalty Amount: \$1,250.00
Community Service(Hr): Not Applicable

SUMMONS #	SUMMARY DISPOSITION	DATE OF OCCURRENCE	PLACE OF OCCURRENCE
039112916J	Sustained	04/29/2024	1426 EAST 28 STREET Brooklyn

LINE ITEM	OATH CODE	CODE SECTION/RULE	RESULT	PENALTY
1	B282	28-105.12.2	Sustained	\$1,250.00

Findings of Fact & Conclusions of Law

The sworn-to-summons alleges a class 2 violation of Administrative Code (Code) § 28-105.12.2 for work does not conform to approved construction documents amendments

Attorney, Andre Autz, for Respondent, Hayim Lajtai Langer, was present. Cassie Rene appeared on behalf of the Petitioner, NYC Department of Buildings (DOB). Issuing officer, Inspector Azad, was present.

Petitioner's representative relied on the sworn-to-summons and Request for Corrected Action (RCA)

Inspector Lucas confirmed he is the issuing officer who issued the violation and provided testimony regarding his observations. Inspector Lucas testified he issued the summons due to failure to comply with the (RCA).

Respondent's representative did not deny the allegations, argued the summons should have been issued to the contractor on record and not the property owner, and submitted into evidence a DOB work permit issued to AI Plumbing & Cont. Inc on November 18, 2021, with an expiration date of December 15, 2021 (Resp. A). The instant summons was issued on April 29, 2024. Petitioner's representative argued the violation may be issued to the owner as they are the responsible party as they hired the contractor to perform the work.

I credit the sworn-to-summons. I find that the summons as alleged is legally sufficient to establish the violation charged. I find that the Respondent did not present a valid legal defense. I find the Respondent failed to provide credible evidence to rebut the allegations. Accordingly, the summons is sustained, and a \$1,250.00 violation penalty is imposed.



01/09/2025

01/09/2025

Paul Mendez, Hearing Officer

Date