

SUMMONS #	SUMMARY DISPOSITION	DATE OF OCCURRENCE	PLACE OF OCCURRENCE
039169465R	Sustained	12/05/2025	6 WEST 32 STREET, Manhattan

LINE ITEM	OATH CODE	CODE SECTION / RULE	RESULT	PENALTY
1	B251	28-304.1	Sustained	625.00

Total Penalty for Summons: 625.00

Findings of Facts & Conclusions of Law

039169464P

This is a hearing by phone held August 7, 2026. Respondent, New 6 West 32nd Street LLC c/o Capstone Equities, appeared by Frank Tamberino, Esq. Petitioner, DOB, appeared by Deanna Burbridge, Esq. Issuing Officer R. Young was also present and testified.

This summons alleges a Class 2 violation of NYC Administrative Code 28-304.1. The first hearing date was 2/12/2026, with a cure date of 2/3/2026.

Respondent's counsel questioned the IO as to how he determined the person he served was a general agent. The IO stated the person was a superintendent. The IO testified that he asked if the super would accept service, to which the super responded yes. Respondent's counsel then stated he is not challenging service.

Respondent's attorney denied the violation on the merits.

Petitioner's attorney had nothing to add.

Summons credited and sustained. Standard penalty imposed.

039169465R

This is a hearing by phone held August 7, 2026. Respondent, New 6 West 32nd Street LLC c/o Capstone Equities, appeared by Frank Tamberino, Esq. Petitioner, DOB, appeared by Deanna Burbridge, Esq. Issuing Officer R. Young was also present and testified.

This summons alleges a Class 2 violation of NYC Administrative Code 28-304.1. The first hearing date was 2/12/2026, with a cure date of 2/3/2026.

Respondent's counsel questioned the IO as to how he determined the person he served was a general agent. The IO stated the person was a superintendent. The IO testified that he asked if the super would accept service, to which the super responded yes. Respondent's counsel then stated he is not challenging service.

Respondent's attorney denied the violation on the merits.

Petitioner's attorney had nothing to add.

I note while the instant summons and 039169464P were issued to the same Respondent on the same day and allege the same law, the summonses pertain to different factual bases and are thus not duplicative.

Summons credited and sustained. Standard penalty imposed.