



OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE TRIALS AND HEARINGS
Hearings Division

DECISION

Michael Nacmias, Esq. - Nacmias Law Firm 592 Pacific Street 1st Floor Brooklyn, NY 11217	Summons No: 039162691N et al. (1 Summons) DEPT OF BUILDINGS, -against- ALI, MUHAMMAD Hearing Date: 06/23/2026 Hearing Location: Remote Type of Hearing: By Telephone
---	---

Total Penalty Amount: \$2,500.00
Community Service(Hr): Not Applicable

SUMMONS #	SUMMARY DISPOSITION	DATE OF OCCURRENCE	PLACE OF OCCURRENCE
039162691N	Sustained	10/06/2025	8019 6 AVENUE Brooklyn

LINE ITEM	OATH CODE	CODE SECTION/RULE	RESULT	PENALTY
1	B102	28-301.1	Sustained	\$2,500.00

Findings of Fact & Conclusions of Law

The respondent MUHAMMAD ALI, represented by Frank Tamberino, was charged with a violation of Administrative Code 28-301.1, Class 1. Issuing Officer Michael Harben affirmed under the penalty of perjury they observed shingles missing in multiple locations; roof sheathing exposed point of entry for water; the overhang is sloping and collapsing; signs of water damage, and cracks in stucco finish overhead.

The petitioner DOB, represented by Shiva Chakraborty, with Jennifer Clouden observing, presented evidence which allegedly showed a violation of Administrative Code 28-301.1, Class 1 (Petitioner's #1). Petitioner's #1 includes photos of the place of occurrence and appeal decisions. The petitioner questioned Inspector Harben who testified that there was a risk of

danger and that they spoke with a tenant about posting the summons on the front door.

The respondent argues that service was improper and submitted evidence which allegedly showed there was no violation of Administrative Code 28-301.1, Class 1 (Respondent's #1). Respondent's #1 includes appeal decisions.

The petitioner argued that service was proper and recommended a standard penalty.

I credit the sworn statements in the summons, Petitioner's #1, and find that the respondent violated Administrative Code 28-301.1. I do not credit Respondent's #A.

I also find that the Class 1 designation is correct as the violation is immediately hazardous.

Accordingly, this summons is sustained and I am imposing the standard penalty.

06/25/2026

06/25/2026

Ian Miller, Judicial Hearing Officer

Date



OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE TRIALS AND HEARINGS
Hearings Division

DECISION

Michael Nacmias, Esq. - Nacmias Law Firm 592 Pacific Street 1st Floor Brooklyn, NY 11217	Summons No: 039162691N et al. (1 Summons) DEPT OF BUILDINGS, -against- ALI, MUHAMMAD Hearing Date: 06/23/2026 Hearing Location: Remote Type of Hearing: By Telephone
---	---

Total Penalty Amount: \$2,500.00
Community Service(Hr): Not Applicable

SUMMONS #	SUMMARY DISPOSITION	DATE OF OCCURRENCE	PLACE OF OCCURRENCE
039162691N	Sustained	10/06/2025	8019 6 AVENUE Brooklyn

LINE ITEM	OATH CODE	CODE SECTION/RULE	RESULT	PENALTY
1	B102	28-301.1	Sustained	\$2,500.00

Findings of Fact & Conclusions of Law

The respondent MUHAMMAD ALI, represented by Frank Tamberino, was charged with a violation of Administrative Code 28-301.1, Class 1. Issuing Officer Michael Harben affirmed under the penalty of perjury they observed shingles missing in multiple locations; roof sheathing exposed point of entry for water; the overhang is sloping and collapsing; signs of water damage, and cracks in stucco finish overhead.

The petitioner DOB, represented by Shiva Chakraborty, with Jennifer Clouden observing, presented evidence which allegedly showed a violation of Administrative Code 28-301.1, Class 1 (Petitioner's #1). Petitioner's #1 includes photos of the place of occurrence and appeal decisions. The petitioner questioned Inspector Harben who testified that there was a risk of

danger and that they spoke with a tenant about posting the summons on the front door.

The respondent argued that service was improper and submitted evidence which allegedly showed there was no violation of Administrative Code 28-301.1, Class 1 (Respondent's #1). Respondent's #1 includes appeal decisions.

The petitioner argued that service was proper and recommended a standard penalty.

I credit the sworn statements in the summons, Petitioner's #1, and find that the respondent violated Administrative Code 28-301.1. I do not credit Respondent's #A.

I also find that the Class 1 designation is correct as the violation is immediately hazardous.

Accordingly, this summons is sustained and I am imposing the standard penalty.

06/25/2026

06/25/2026

Ian Miller, Judicial Hearing Officer

Date