



# Office of Administrative Trials and Hearings

Hearings Division

## Decision

Michael Nacmias, Esq. - Nacmias Law Firm  
592 Pacific Street 1st Floor  
Brooklyn, NY 11217

Summons# : 0220677885 et al. (1 summonses)

NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPT,

-against-

CHEYENENE JACQUEZ

Hearing Date : 7/6/2026

Hearing Location : Remote

Type of Hearing : By Telephone

Total Penalty Amount : 0.00

Community Service(Hr): Not Applicable

| SUMMONS #  | SUMMARY DISPOSITION | DATE OF OCCURRENCE | PLACE OF OCCURRENCE      |
|------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| 0220677885 | Dismissed           | 05/17/2026         | 31 Ave and 49 St, Queens |

| LINE ITEM | OATH CODE | CODE SECTION / RULE | RESULT    | PENALTY |
|-----------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|---------|
| 1         | AD6L      | AC 19-190(B)        | Dismissed | 0.00    |

Total Penalty for Summons: 0.00

### Findings of Facts & Conclusions of Law

This matter was heard remotely, via telephonic conference, on July 6, 2026. Respondent appeared via Michael Nacmias, identified as the attorney for the named respondent. Petitioner did not appear.

Also on the line is Faina Savich, a judicial hearing officer with OATH, to observe.

The summons alleges a violation of NYC AC 19-190(b). The date of occurrence was May 17, 2026, at 5:18pm.

Respondent requested that I review the affidavit of service, for this summons, I noted that it was not filled out. Respondent moved to dismiss, on these grounds. Respondent cites to NYPD v. Barrett Cherelle, Appeal No. 2000420 (June 18, 2020.)

Respondent further testifies that the summons does not allege that respondent was in a motor vehicle, nor that the victim was a pedestrian, nor it allege physical injury "within the meaning of the statute," instead offering a "conclusory statement."

I credit respondent's testimony, but find that, as a matter of law, the summonses must be dismissed, as the affidavit of service is blank, and not filled out as all, and is therefore defective on its face. I also note that the summons lacks a maximum penalty, and is similarly defective, as per 48 RCNY 6-08(c)(4).

As such, the summonses are hereby dismissed.

|                                                                                                 |            |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| <br>07/06/2026 | 07/06/2026 |
| Craig Messing, Hearing Officer                                                                  | Date       |



**Office of Administrative Trials and Hearings**  
Hearings Division

**ADJOURNMENT ORDER**

|                                                                                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Michael Anthony Sargo, Esq.</b><br>592 Pacific Street<br>Brooklyn, NY 11217 | <b>Summons No.: 26X01062 et al. (1 Summons)</b><br><br><b>DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AND WORKER PROTECTION,</b><br><br><b>-against-</b><br><br><b>TYH LAUNDRY INC.</b><br><br><b>Hearing Date: 6/22/2026</b><br><br><b>Hearing Location: Remote</b><br><br><b>Type of Hearing: By Telephone</b> |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

**NEXT HEARING DATE:** August 31, 2026

**TIME:** 8:30 AM

**HEARING LOCATION:** Bronx

260 E. 161st Street 6th Floor  
Bronx, NY 10451

|                                |                |              |                |
|--------------------------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|
| Total Penalty Amount:          | Not Applicable | Restitution: | Not Applicable |
| Suspension/Revocation/Sealing: | Not Applicable |              |                |
|                                |                |              |                |

| SUMMONS # | SUMMARY DISPOSITION | DATE OF OCCURRENCE | PLACE OF OCCURRENCE        |
|-----------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|
| 26X01062  | Adjourned           | 04/28/2026         | 700 SOUTHERN BLVD<br>Bronx |

| LINE ITEM | OATH CODE | CODE SECTION / RULE      | OCCUR -RENCE | NO. OF COUNTS | RESULT    | PENALTY | SUSPENSION/REV OCATION/SEALIN |
|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------|---------|-------------------------------|
| 1         | NA        | 6 RCNY § 4-131(a)(4) (i) | 1            | 1             | Adjourned |         |                               |
| 2         | NA        | 6 RCNY § 5-37            | 1            | 1             | Adjourned |         |                               |

|                            |    |                  |   |   |           |  |  |
|----------------------------|----|------------------|---|---|-----------|--|--|
| 3                          | NA | 6 RCNY § 5-24(a) | 1 | 1 | Adjourned |  |  |
| Total Penalty for Summons: |    |                  |   |   |           |  |  |

**Reason for Adjournment:**

The respondent was represented by Michael Sargo, Esq. The petitioner was represented by Insp. Gobardhan. The matter was adjourned without objection to allow the respondent to present relevant evidence. The adjourned date was chosen with the agreement of both parties.

As of the date of this decision, all appearances before the OATH Hearings Division are by telephone, except where a party requests and is approved for an in-person appearance. You must request a hearing by telephone at least three (3) business days before the scheduled hearing date on this adjournment order by emailing the appropriate address below based on the Borough listed on your Summons.

BRONX: RemoteBronx@oath.nyc.gov

BROOKLYN: RemoteBKLYN@oath.nyc.gov

MANHATTAN: RemoteManhattan@oath.nyc.gov

QUEENS : RemoteLIC@oath.nyc.gov

STATENISLAND: RemoteSI@oath.nyc.gov

Upon requesting a telephone hearing for the adjourned hearing date noted above you will be given a new Pin Number. You cannot use the pin number you used today.

To request an in person hearing, you must email livehearings@oath.nyc.gov at least five (5) business days before the scheduled hearing date. Before your hearing date, visit [www.nyc.gov/oath](http://www.nyc.gov/oath) to check the most up-to-date rules on in-person and telephonic hearings.

Note: even if an in person hearing is granted in this order you must still request the in person hearing 5 days in advance of the adjournment date in accordance with the instructions noted above.

|                                                                                     |            |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
|  |            |
| June 22, 2026                                                                       | 06/22/2026 |
| Patricia Cardoso, Hearing Officer                                                   | Date       |