



OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE TRIALS AND HEARINGS
Hearings Division

DECISION

Michael Anthony Sargo, Esq. 592 Pacific Street Brooklyn, NY 11217	Summons No: 0220343705 et al. (1 Summons) NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPT, -against- ARON BROWN Hearing Date: 07/29/2026 Hearing Location: Remote Type of Hearing: By Telephone
--	--

Total Penalty Amount: \$0.00

Community Service(Hr): Not Applicable
--

SUMMONS #	SUMMARY DISPOSITION	DATE OF OCCURRENCE	PLACE OF OCCURRENCE
0220343705	Dismissed	04/25/2026	113 Street & South Rockaway Queens

LINE ITEM	OATH CODE	CODE SECTION/RULE	RESULT	PENALTY
1	AN46	A.C. 24-236(A)	Dismissed	\$0.00

Findings of Fact & Conclusions of Law

CHARGES

Summons #0220343705 charges Respondent with violating §24-236, alleging that on April 25, 2026, at 22:50pm, at 113 Street & South Rockaway, in the 102 Precinct, NY: "deft was observed operating Black Infinity audible from over 150 feet away."

Attorney Michael Sargo appeared at a remote hearing on July 29, 2026 as an authorized representative of Respondent. Petitioner did not appear.

RELEVANT LAW

NYC Administrative Code §24-236(e) states in pertinent part:

"No person shall cause or permit the total sound from a motor vehicle operating on any public right-of-way to exceed the sound level set forth in section 386 of the vehicle and traffic law and the rules adopted pursuant to such section."

TESTIMONY

Mr. Sargo moved to dismiss on the grounds of defective service (as the affidavit of service is blank), and failure to make out a prima facie case (as there is no license plate cited, just the name of the vehicle). On the merits, Mr. Sargo denied the charge.

DECISION

The summons is dismissed.

I find that service was defective in that the affidavit of service was blank and that although there is a mark on the personal service box on the front of the summons, the mark is muddy and it is unclear whether it is a check or a cross-out. It is worth noting that I also find the summons defective on its face as no body of law is indicated, thus failing to give adequate notice of the charge. The correct body of law is the Administrative Code.

Accordingly, the summons is dismissed.



08/04/2026

08/04/2026

Sharah Thomas, Judicial Hearing Officer

Date