

SUMMONS #	SUMMARY DISPOSITION	DATE OF OCCURRENCE	PLACE OF OCCURRENCE
000921499M	Sustained	12/18/2024	1531 63 STREET, Brooklyn

LINE ITEM	OATH CODE	CODE SECTION / RULE	RESULT	PENALTY
1	BA51	24 -163	Sustained	350.00

Total Penalty for Summons: 350.00

Findings of Facts & Conclusions of Law

This matter was heard remotely, via telephonic conference, on June 24, 2026. Respondent appeared via Alexander Stratis, identified as the authorized representative for the named respondent. Petitioner appeared via Dantney Parks.

000913072N

The summons alleges a violation of NYC AC 24-163. The date of occurrence was June 19, 2024, at 7:53am.

Petitioner submits two documents, and a video, as evidence. Documents include: a composite picture showing the vehicle's license plate, the side of vehicle, with respondent's name and DOT number visible, and a USDOT Information page, showing respondent's information; and the redacted idling complaint. The citizen video of the incident is a 3:37 video, time-stamped in accordance with the summons. Petitioner testifies that the video shows the engine audible throughout the video, clearly idling for longer than three minutes, with no apparent use of processing equipment [which petitioner states the vehicle did not contain], or other activity that would require the engine to run; as such, petitioner contends that the violation should be sustained.

Respondent testifies that he believes there might be a lift gate in the back of the vehicle, and there is a worker "working on it." Moreover, there is only one worker, and it is "standard practice" to leave the vehicle on, if a processing device needed to be used.

Petitioner points to 1:44, where the processing device, if it is one, is stored, and not in active use.

I credit respondent's testimony, but find it insufficient to warrant dismissal of the charges, as petitioner is correct that no processing device is seen in active use, throughout the citizen's video, which is required for the exception to 24-163 to apply.

As such, the summons is hereby sustained, and the statutory penalty is imposed.

000921499M

The summons alleges a violation of NYC AC 24-163. The date of occurrence was December 18, 2024, at 1:48pm.

Respondent denies the charges, without rebuttal.

As such, the summons is hereby sustained, and the statutory penalty is imposed.