OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE TRIALS AND HEARINGS

Hearings Division

Decision

TIFFANY IRIZARRY - NACMIAS LAW FIRM, PLLC 592 PACIFIC STREET BROOKLYN,NY 11217	Summons# : 000695264P et al. (2 summonses) DEPT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, -against- HARLEM RIVER POINT NORTH LLC Hearing Date : 10/19/2026 Hearing Location : Remote Type of Hearing : By Telephone

Total Penalty Amount : 1,600.00	**Community Service(Hr):** Not Applicable

SUMMONS #	SUMMARY DISPOSITION	DATE OF OCCURRENCE	PLACE OF OCCURRENCE
000695264P	Sustained	01/21/2026	1951 PARK AVE, APT 607, Manhattan

LINE ITEM	OATH CODE	CODE SECTION / RULE	RESULT	PENALTY
1	BAZ3	24-154 (B)	Sustained	800.00

Total Penalty for Summons: 800.00

SUMMONS #	SUMMARY DISPOSITION	DATE OF OCCURRENCE	PLACE OF OCCURRENCE
000695265R	Sustained	01/21/2026	1951 PARK AVE, APT N407, Manhattan

LINE ITEM	OATH CODE	CODE SECTION / RULE	RESULT	PENALTY
1	BAZ3	24-154 (B)	Sustained	800.00

Total Penalty for Summons: **800.00**

Findings of Facts & Conclusions of Law

000695265R

Respondent, HARLEM RIVER POINT NORTH LLC, appeared via telephone in response to the above issued summons. Respondent was represented by authorized representative, Tiffany Irizarry. Petitioner, Department of Environmental Protection, waived its appearance via email. The summons alleges a violation NYC Administrative Code 24-154(B).

Ms. Irizarry denied the charge with no rebuttal.

I credit the issuing officer's statement and find that Petitioner proved this violation by a preponderance of the evidence. Respondent has not established a valid defense.

Accordingly, this summons is sustained.

000695264P

Respondent, HARLEM RIVER POINT NORTH LLC, appeared via telephone in response to the above issued summons. Respondent was represented by authorized representative, Tiffany Irizarry. Petitioner, Department of Environmental Protection, waived its appearance via email. The summons alleges a violation NYC Administrative Code 24-154(B).

Ms. Irizarry denied the charge with no rebuttal.

I credit the issuing officer's statement and find that Petitioner proved this violation by a preponderance of the evidence. Respondent has not established a valid defense.

Accordingly, this summons is sustained.



10/19/2026 **10/19/2026**

Trishawn Raffington, Hearing Officer **Date**